

Civil v. Common Law: How they Differ and Why this Matters for International Arbitration

MCLE: 1 Hour Thursday, March 14, 2024

Speakers:

Ara Cho Grant L. Kim Nicolas Wiegand Steve Kim Sunyoung Kim

Conference Reference Materials

Points of view or opinions expressed in these pages are those of the speaker(s) and/or author(s). They have not been adopted or endorsed by the California Lawyers Association and do not constitute the official position or policy of the California Lawyers Association. Nothing contained herein is intended to address any specific legal inquiry, nor is it a substitute for independent legal research to original sources or obtaining separate legal advice regarding specific legal situations.

© 2024 California Lawyers Association All Rights Reserved The California Lawyers Association is an approved State Bar of California MCLE provider.

California International Arbitration Week

LITIGATION

CALIFORNIA Lawyers Association

March 11-14, 2024

CA California Arbitration

Civil v. Common Law How They Differ and Why This Matters for International Arbitration



March 14, 2024





CALIFORNIA LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

Civil v. Common Law: Panelists



Ara Cho Peter & Kim Seoul



Grant Kim LimNexus San Francisco



Steve Kim KCAB International Seoul



Sunyoung Kim Lee & Ko Seoul



Nicolas Wiegand CMS Hong Kong/San Francisco

LITIGATION

Civil v. Common Law: How Do They Differ?





Written Evidence and Submissions

Little or No Party Discovery

Statutes and Codes

Multiple Short Hearings

Different Legal Concepts

Adversarial: Party-Driven

Live Witnesses and Advocacy

Broad and Intrusive Discovery

Case Law and Stare Decisis

Long Trial with Witnesses

Different Legal Concepts



Civil v. Common Law: Why Does It Matter?

Strong Impact on All Phases of the Arbitration

- Selecting Arbitrators
- Initial Hearing and Case Schedule
- Disclosure and Discovery
- Pre-Hearing Submissions on Facts and Evidence
- Pre-Hearing Submissions on Law
- Merits Hearing: Witnesses and Advocacy Style



Civil v. Common Law: Selecting Arbitrators



- Prefer broad or limited discovery (IBA Rules are de facto standard, but may be applied differently)?
- Prefer expert in governing substantive law?
- Prefer strict or flexible interpretation of contract?
- Prefer strict or flexible interpretation of procedural requirements?
- Other considerations?

Civil v. Common Law: Initial Hearing/Case Schedule



Typical approach combines written submission of evidence (civil) with live hearing with witnesses (common law)

- Are civil/common law arbitrators likely to adopt different approaches?
- Who is likely to take a more active approach to case management?
- Are common law arbitrators more likely to consider dispositive motions?
- What is the role, if any, of the arbitrator in facilitating settlement?
- Any other differences in case management style?



Civil v. Common Law: Disclosure/Discovery

IBA Rules are standard, but may be applied differently

- How different are civil and common law arbitrators likely to be?
- What is "material to the outcome"?
- What is a "narrow and specific category"?
- Timing: before or after first round of merits submissions?
- Are depositions ever allowed?
- Remedial measures for failure to disclose?



Prehearing Submissions on Facts: *Evidence*

Civil law emphasizes documents rather than testimony

- Does this matter in international arbitration?
- What evidence is most likely to be persuasive?
- What is the most effective way to present the facts and evidence?
- How much weight do civil law arbitrators give to party witness statements?
- Civil law judges may appoint their own experts; what about arbitrators?

Prehearing Submissions on Law: Style and Substance



Some convergence, but different mindset

- Common law uses codes and civil law judges issue reasoned decisions, but:
- **Different Style:** Civil law decisions usually cited for general principles only; common law cases are longer and cited for application of law to similar facts
- **Different Legal Concepts:** Hard to "translate" because different conceptual framework (e.g., "declaration of intent," "juridical act," statute of limitations)
- How do you argue civil law to common law arbitrators and vice versa?
- Are common/civil law arbitrators likely to view the same law differently?
- Mixed civil/common law team helpful when tribunal is also mixed

Merits Hearing: Witnesses and Advocacy Style



Multiple short hearings v. Long intense trial

- International arbitration merits hearing usually shorter than common law (no direct, begin with cross) but longer than civil law
- How important is witness examination to civil law arbitrators?
- What is the best approach to cross-examination?
- Do common law arbitrators ask more questions?
- What is the best approach to opening statements and closing arguments?
- Are closing arguments in writing only or held at a later time?



CALIFORNIA LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

Thank you – 감사합니다 – Danke schön!



Ara Cho Peter & Kim Seoul



Grant Kim LimNexus San Francisco



Steve Kim KCAB International Seoul



Sunyoung Kim Lee & Ko Seoul



Nicolas Wiegand CMS Hong Kong/San Francisco